UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,292	02/26/2004	John J. Vajo	GP-303955	4952
Kathryn A. Mar	7590 08/12/200 rra	EXAMINER		
Mail Code 482-	·C23-B21	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
300 Renaissance Center P. O. Box 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Detroit, MI 482	65-3000	1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/787,292	VAJO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Wayne Langel	1793			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication арр or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	une 2008				
2a)□						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
<i>ا</i> ل	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	olocod in accordance with the practice and r	-x parto Quayro, 1000 0.B. 11, 10	30 0.3. 210.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-12,14,15,24,25,27-38,41,42,47</u>	<u>-52,70-86,88-90,92-97,100-113,1</u>	<u> 17-120,122-124,131-</u>			
146,149 <u>,</u>	146,149,150,155-160 and is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-12,14,15,24,25,27-38,41,42,47-52,70-86,88-90,92-97 and 100-110</u> is/are allowed.					
·						
	Claim(s) <u>119,120 and 178-186</u> is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
	•					
· —	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 111-113, 117, 118, 122-124, 131-138 and 187-189 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al '936. It would be obvious from col. 5, lines 44-49 and col. 8, lines 58-61 of Chen et al '936 to provide a mixture of alkali metal hydroxides and alkali metal hydrides. Applicants' argument, that nothing in Chen et al '936 teaches or suggests that hydrogen gas will be produced when a dehydrated hydroxide composition and a hydride composition are reacted together, is not convincing, since claims 111-113, 117, 118, 122-124, 131-138 and 187-189 do not require that the hydroxide and hydride compositions be reacted together. Claims 111-113, 117, 118, 122-124, 131-138 and 187-189 do not require reacting at the specific conditions to produce hydrogen. There is no evidence on record of unexpected results which would emanate from the use of a mixture of hydroxide and hydride salts in the process of Chen et al '936.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 139-146, 149, 150 and 155-160 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "the method", since claim 111 recites a composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,292 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

Claims 1-4, 7-12, 14, 15, 24, 25, 27-38, 41, 42, 47-52, 70-86, 88-90, 92-97 and 100-110 are allowed.

Claims 119, 120 and 178-186 are objected to as based on a rejected parent claim, and would be allowed if written in independent form.

Amendola et al is made of record for disclosing the reaction between lithium borohydride and water to yield hydrogen.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,292 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wayne Langel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

.